

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|
| 09/421,808 | 10/20/1999 | VALI TADAYON | CYB-502 4631 | | |
| 7: | 590 07/11/2003 | | | | |
| FROST BROWN TODD LLC | | | EXAMINER | | |
| 2200 PNC CEN 201 E. FIFTH S | STREET | | POLLACK, I | POLLACK, MELVIN H | |
| CINCINATI, C | OH 45202-4182 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 2141 | 19 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/11/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/421,808 | TADAYON ET AL. | | | | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Melvin H Pollack | 2142 | | | | |
| Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sh et with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 30 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | evoid abandonment of this applicance 1) a timely filed amendment whical (with appeal fee); or (3) a time | cation. A proper reply to a chiple can be called a chiple can be called a chiple called a chip | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the in SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE steen which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee 1 fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | |
| (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by mat | erially reducing or simplifying the | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: As stated in last advisory, the independent claims were amended to change the scope. | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>they raise new issues for search</u> . | | | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-65</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO ₇ 1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| 10.☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> | HUPAL DHARIA PRIMARY EXAMINER | MLID | | | | |
| | | MHP | | | | |



Continuation of 10. Other: Due to a printing error, several claims have been made illegible due to words being printed ov r other words. This error occurs in claims 13, 41-47, 50-56, and 62-64 (Pages 4, 8, 9, 12, 13, 16, and 17). Examiner also notes problems in the remarks section, on Pages 20, 21, and 23. Please fax or mail a new amendment, making sure that these typographical errors do not occur. (This error occurs when the printer changes ink colors, such as from black to red underlined and back.).